


## Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

 **From** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>  
**To** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>  
**Date** 2021-12-08 14:57

It's not necessary but I appreciate your thoroughness! Thanks Ian

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 8, 2021 at 3:21 PM  
**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Correct. That is what we flagged and also in Tranche 1. We found the NW which was illegal, but the NE was in question.

There were a total of 71 at this location, of which 16 were using NE the other 55 were NW.

This was going into the weeds a bit but also showing how we picked up false negatives (and potential false positives, such as real addresses in an apartment building with a UPS store at the bottom).

I've attached all of those ones here. Do you want all of Tranche 1 for GA for more context?

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research  
~~(424) 436-1556~~

On 2021-12-08 14:11, Rogers, Kaleigh wrote:

Hi Ian

I can't quite make out the UPS number, but [I do see a UPS listed](#) at 2870 Peachtree **Road**. I also think it's odd that there is a huge condo building that is *also* a '2870' address *right behind* where this address should be but is technically Pharr Court and not Peachtree. Just seems like there's a chance something else is going on since there's lots of addresses there. If I was trying to figure this out for a story, I'd personally be knocking on doors.

Anyway thanks for following up.

Cheers

Kaleigh

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 8, 2021 at 2:24 PM  
**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hi Kaleigh,

Thanks for following up, I wish more people had your curiosity and dived deeper into this material to understand instead of outright dismissing it.

1. Yes, I think that I observed this on the call with you and mentioned that it changes around that area as well.

The NE suffix from the other streets running perpendicular to it would possibly help explain or support this observation.

Seems to change between Peachtree St NE and Peachtree Rd NW all the way down until around FHL Bank Atlanta - Peachtree St NE and W Peachtree St NW merge into a Peachtree Rd. (See attached image)

Taking the suffix (NE, NW, etc) out changes nothing overall as the numbers remain consistent in terms of the direction.

That said, once you get to the opposite end of the suffix (SW, SE, etc) then the numbers go from 100+ in that direction.

I don't dispute that it's weird and Google Maps had it confused too, and that's why it was initially a false negative at first until we dug deeper on this one.

2. Look at the screenshot of the UPS Store which shows the 2870 address (hard to see but hopefully you can read it with the arrow pointing at the address, see attached).

On the opposite side of the street of the UPS Store you will see 2881

[https://www.google.com/maps/place/Alhambra/@33.8333311,-84.3834822,3a,15y,121.32h,90.2t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1seRX19rQ8K5XsNS\\_tlvEngA!2e0!7i16384!8i8192!4m13!1m7!3m6!1s0x88f50591b274532f:0xeff74ec8a4a32849!2s2900+Peachtree+St+NE,+Atlanta,+GA+30305!3b1!8m2!3d33.8341737!4d-84.3828407!3m4!1s0x88f50506ec0e1a53:0xde72e52142e1a797!8m2!3d33.8326551!4d-84.3839296](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Alhambra/@33.8333311,-84.3834822,3a,15y,121.32h,90.2t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1seRX19rQ8K5XsNS_tlvEngA!2e0!7i16384!8i8192!4m13!1m7!3m6!1s0x88f50591b274532f:0xeff74ec8a4a32849!2s2900+Peachtree+St+NE,+Atlanta,+GA+30305!3b1!8m2!3d33.8341737!4d-84.3828407!3m4!1s0x88f50506ec0e1a53:0xde72e52142e1a797!8m2!3d33.8326551!4d-84.3839296)

And down the street 2855, which is pretty close.

[https://www.google.com/maps/place/Alhambra/@33.8328292,-84.3840325,3a,75y,142.89h,88.33t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sb\\_Pchza2A-oVICuogZBm8Q!2e0!7i16384!8i8192!4m13!1m7!3m6!1s0x88f50591b274532f:0xeff74ec8a4a32849!2s2900+Peachtree+St+NE,+Atlanta,+GA+30305!3b1!8m2!3d33.8341737!4d-84.3828407!3m4!1s0x88f50506ec0e1a53:0xde72e52142e1a797!8m2!3d33.8326551!4d-84.3839296](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Alhambra/@33.8328292,-84.3840325,3a,75y,142.89h,88.33t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sb_Pchza2A-oVICuogZBm8Q!2e0!7i16384!8i8192!4m13!1m7!3m6!1s0x88f50591b274532f:0xeff74ec8a4a32849!2s2900+Peachtree+St+NE,+Atlanta,+GA+30305!3b1!8m2!3d33.8341737!4d-84.3828407!3m4!1s0x88f50506ec0e1a53:0xde72e52142e1a797!8m2!3d33.8326551!4d-84.3839296)

This seems to confirm the numbering and why this address got flagged.


As I had mentioned on the call, 2870 Pharr Ct. which is a condominium complex located behind and almost exactly parallel to the UPS store appears to be in the same area so we know that the address numbers are following the same pattern (obviously a different street address and not illegal).

<https://www.google.com/maps/place/The+Concorde+Condominium/@33.8334492,-84.3853943,18z/data=!4m13!1m7!3m6!1s0x88f50591b17fc4b5:0x43fb443b0273bc97!2s2910+Peachtree+Rd,+Atlanta,+GA+30305!3b1!8m2!3d33.8342446!4d-84.3829454!3m4!1s0x88f5059a838c23e5:0x70a4c06f38295fb6!8m2!3d33.8338638!4d-84.3855902>

3. Right, those would all be legal / valid addresses for registrations. We didn't flag those.

Working on getting you the Zoom interview.

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research  


On 2021-12-08 11:52, Rogers, Kaleigh wrote:

Okay just because you seemed as curious as I am, a couple of things:

1. Peachtree St NE and Peachtree Rd NW appear to be...the same highway? At least according to Google maps
2. The Party City/mini mall we saw at 2870 Peachtree is actually 2900 Peachtree, according to the sign visible here:  
[https://www.google.com/maps/@33.8337582,-84.3835111,3a,59.6y,43.68h,94.19t/data=!3m7!1e1!3m5!1sTJD3qzSZSojPFo7bAv\\_XBQ!2e0!6shhttps:%2F%2Fstreetviewpixels-pa.googleapis.com%2Fv1%2Fthumbnail%3Fpanoid%3DTJD3qzSZSojPFo7bAv\\_XBQ%26cb\\_client%3Dmaps\\_sv.tactile.gps%26w%3D203%26h%3D100%26yaw%3D96.88306%26pitch%3D0%26thumbfov%3D100!7i16384!8i8192](https://www.google.com/maps/@33.8337582,-84.3835111,3a,59.6y,43.68h,94.19t/data=!3m7!1e1!3m5!1sTJD3qzSZSojPFo7bAv_XBQ!2e0!6shhttps:%2F%2Fstreetviewpixels-pa.googleapis.com%2Fv1%2Fthumbnail%3Fpanoid%3DTJD3qzSZSojPFo7bAv_XBQ%26cb_client%3Dmaps_sv.tactile.gps%26w%3D203%26h%3D100%26yaw%3D96.88306%26pitch%3D0%26thumbfov%3D100!7i16384!8i8192)
3. I looked further up the street and found this little complex of townhomes, but it is 2840:  
[https://www.google.com/maps/@33.8324743,-84.3844977,3a,75y,316.13h,85.02t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1s6A3Mvr1Uu8E\\_AMUbeImkxg!2e0!7i16384!8i8192](https://www.google.com/maps/@33.8324743,-84.3844977,3a,75y,316.13h,85.02t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1s6A3Mvr1Uu8E_AMUbeImkxg!2e0!7i16384!8i8192)

Let me know if you find anything!

Thanks again

Kaleigh

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>

**Date:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 at 4:29 PM

**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hi Kaleigh,

We did not reach out because that would likely run afoul of the law and we would rather you not do so either.

It may potentially compromise any current law enforcement investigations into these individuals.

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America

Director of Research  
[REDACTED]

On 2021-12-07 14:45, Rogers, Kaleigh wrote:

Hi Ian

I can agree to that! Curious: have you reached out to any individuals you identified? Would you be against me reaching out to them?

Thanks

Kaleigh

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>

**Date:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 at 3:44 PM

**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

If you're unable to do that then please let me know. This really is for you to examine.

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research  
[REDACTED]

On 2021-12-07 13:40, ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org wrote:

Hi Kaleigh,

Sorry thought I hit send!

100% on the record. I need you to confirm that you will not disclose any personal information about the people that we researched though.

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research  
[REDACTED]

On 2021-12-07 13:15, Rogers, Kaleigh wrote:

Also just want to check: will this be on the record, on background, or off the record? Just want to be on the same page before we get started.  
Thank you!

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>

**Date:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 at 2:14 PM

**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hi Kaleigh,

This afternoon does not work but I could do tomorrow.

What time were you thinking? Also, do you use Zoom or Google Meets for a screenshare?

---

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research

On 2021-12-07 13:12, Rogers, Kaleigh wrote:

Hi Ian

Thank you for the reminder! Do you have some time later this afternoon or tomorrow to walk me through your work?

Thanks kindly

Kaleigh

---

**From:** ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org <ian.camacho@lookaheadamerica.org>

**Date:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 at 2:11 PM

**To:** Matt Braynard <matt@braynard.com>

**Cc:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hi Kaleigh,

I wanted to follow up to confirm that you received our written response to the Hoover Institute's Analysis that I had mailed last week. I had not received confirmation and so I wanted to make sure that it was not lost.

Also, I wanted to check and see what days and times work for you to show some examples we found in Georgia and Arizona regarding illegal registrations, NCOA, OOSSR, etc. in our reports.

Kind Regards,  
Ian Camacho  
Look Ahead America  
Director of Research

---

**From:** Rogers, Kaleigh <Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, December 1, 2021 9:09 AM

**To:** Matt Braynard <matt@braynard.com>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hi Matt

Thanks for taking the time to speak with me this morning. If possible, I'd love to connect with Ian and learn more about your process for identifying ballots you believe to be cast illegally. If you are also open to sharing your yet-unpublished response to the Hoover analysis, that would be helpful.

Thanks kindly

Kaleigh

---

**From:** Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>

**Date:** Thursday, November 18, 2021 at 6:56 AM

**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hey,

Sorry, but today isn't going to work. Next week is very open so please check in then.

Matt

On November 17, 2021 9:29:33 AM "Rogers, Kaleigh" <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

Hi Matt

I can do tomorrow at 10 AM EST. If mornings are tricky we can also do evenings or afternoon, I'm pretty flexible.

Cheers

Kaleigh

---

**From:** matt <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>

**Date:** Wednesday, November 17, 2021 at 8:43 AM

**To:** Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

Hey,

Today is not going to work. We can resched for tomorrow at 10 AM.

Matt

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>

> To: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>

> Subject: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> Sent: Nov 16 '21 21:34

>

> No problem, talk to you then!

>

> FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>

> DATE: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 at 4:32 PM

> TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>

> SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

>

> I need to move it to 10. That work?

>

> On November 16, 2021 8:43:18 AM "Rogers, Kaleigh"

> <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

>

> > No problem. Tomorrow works great, I'll try you again then.

> >

> > Cheers

> >

> > Kaleigh

> >

> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>

> > DATE: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 at 11:28 AM

> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>

> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >

> > Sorry I was moving across the country and got my time zone mixed up.

> >

> >

> > Can we go to 9:30 tomorrow morning Eastern Time?

> >

> > On November 16, 2021 6:52:15 AM "Rogers, Kaleigh"

> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

> >

> > Hi Matt

> >

> > Tried calling and texting but haven't gotten through. Please let  
> > me know if you need to reschedule.

> >  
> > Thanks  
> >  
> > Kaleigh

> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 6:36 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >  
> > ~~703-493-1000~~  
> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 6:25:42 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"

> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

> >  
> > Sounds great! What's the best number?

> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 6:24 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >  
> > 930 est tomorrow morning. That work?

> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 6:19:49 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"  
> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

> >  
> > Okay editor says either option is fine (open line or recording) so  
> > I'll leave that up to you. Please let me know when would be a good  
> > time to chat and the best time to reach you.

> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 6:08 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >  
> > I'm not sure myself. Alternatively you could let me make a  
> > recording.

> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 5:50:43 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"  
> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

> >  
> > Can I ask who else may be listening in?

> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 5:50 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >  
> > Others May listen in on the call.

> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 5:42:54 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"  
> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:

> >  
> > Phone is fine, what do you mean by an open line?

> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 5:42 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight

> >  
> > That's fine but for print reporters I only talk on the phone on an  
> > open line. If that works for you I'll make some time to chat perhaps  
> > tomorrow morning.

> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 5:39:18 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"

> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:  
> >  
> > Of course! I'm focusing on some of the changes to election law  
> > that have been passed at the state level, as well as the third party  
> > audits that have been pursued (in Arizona, PA, Wisconsin). I wanted  
> > to ask about your campaign to raise the issue of voter fraud, and  
> > how you used that as a fundraising tool. I'm hoping to gain better  
> > perspective on what you believe is the evidence for widespread voter  
> > fraud, what your goals are, and whether you think your work has had  
> > a direct contribution to some of the efforts we've seen at the  
> > state level.  
> >  
> > Please let me know if you're open to discussing all that, or if  
> > you have any other questions.  
> >  
> > Thanks again  
> >  
> > Kaleigh  
> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Monday, November 15, 2021 at 5:24 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >  
> > "Can you give me some idea of the questions?"  
> >  
> > On November 15, 2021 5:03:41 PM "Rogers, Kaleigh"  
> > <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)> wrote:  
> >  
> > Hi Matt  
> >  
> > Awesome, thanks for your flexibility. Is there a good time for you  
> > this week to chat? The only time I'm not available is Wednesday at  
> > 4 PM-5PM, otherwise my schedule is wide open.  
> >  
> > Thanks kindly  
> >  
> > Kaleigh  
> >  
> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 at 2:17 PM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: RE: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >  
> > Yeah, I am flexible.  
> >  
> > Matt  
> >  
> > FROM: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SENT: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 1:56 PM  
> > TO: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: Re: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >  
> > Hi Matt!  
> >  
> > Thanks for your response. FiveThirtyEight is part of ABC News, which  
> > is why my address defaults to @abc (but you can also reach me at  
> > [Kaleigh.rogers@fivethirtyeight.com](mailto:Kaleigh.rogers@fivethirtyeight.com))  
> >  
> > Unfortunately I had a couple of things crop up that will make today  
> > and tomorrow pretty busy for me. Is there any chance we can arrange  
> > something later this week or next week? I can send you some more  
> > details about the story in advance.  
> >  
> > Thanks kindly  
> >  
> > Kaleigh  
> >

> > FROM: Matt Braynard <[matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)>  
> > DATE: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 at 10:15 AM  
> > TO: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> > SUBJECT: RE: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >  
> > Hi,  
> >  
> > If you are a reporter at 538 why is your email from ABC.com?  
> >  
> > Can you give me some idea of the questions? I can probably make  
> > some time later this afternoon.  
> >  
> > Matt  
> >  
> > -----Original Message-----  
> > From: Matt Braynard - Look Ahead America  
> > <[matt@lookaheadamerica.org](mailto:matt@lookaheadamerica.org)>  
> > Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:54 AM  
> > To: [matt@braynard.com](mailto:matt@braynard.com)  
> > Subject: Fw: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >  
> > Matt Braynard  
> > Executive Director  
> > Look Ahead America  
> > [matt@lookaheadamerica.org](mailto:matt@lookaheadamerica.org)  
> > ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
> >  
> >> -----Original Message-----  
> >> From: Rogers, Kaleigh <[Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com](mailto:Kaleigh.Rogers@abc.com)>  
> >> To: [info@lookaheadamerica.org](mailto:info@lookaheadamerica.org) <[info@lookaheadamerica.org](mailto:info@lookaheadamerica.org)>  
> >> Subject: Media Request: FiveThirtyEight  
> >> Sent: Nov 08 '21 17:34  
> >>  
> >> Good evening!  
> >>  
> >> I'm a reporter at FiveThirtyEight working on a feature story  
> > about  
> >> the Republican-led effort on election security, including efforts  
> > to  
> >> conduct audits similar to the one in Maricopa County. I was  
> > hoping Mr.  
> >> Braynard might be free for a brief phone interview about these  
> >> efforts and what changes Look Ahead America hopes to see.  
> >>  
> >> Please let me know if we can set up some time to chat.  
> >>  
> >> Thanks kindly,  
> >>  
> >> Kaleigh  
> >>  
> >>  
>  
>